



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/409,566	09/30/1999	JOHN CHRISTOPHER BARROTT	AUR-014-PA	5556
7	590 05/06/2002			
KILLWORTH GOTTMAN HAGAN SCHAEFF LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE DAYTON CENTRE, SUITE 500 ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET		0	BARTUSKA, FRANCIS JOHN	

DAYTON, OH 454022023

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	31
	09/409,566	BARROTT ET AL.	
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	F. J. BARTUSKA	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a note of the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this col  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 !	<u> March 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Application Papers	r diodion requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept	oted or b) objected to by 1	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ c	lisapproved by the Examine	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	* *		
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTC	

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 11, 12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the "Preparing for the

Art Unit: 3627

Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication. Yagasaki discloses a computerized method for presenting, searching, selecting and maintaining information contained in a database including a presentation guide page 21, a response page 22, compiling a list of desired products at 55 and providing that list at 36. Yagasaki does not specify that the products ordered are for funeral arrangements. The "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication discloses that funeral arrangements can be made by computer on the Internet and that doing so will save time and money. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication to save time and money by using the computer shopping system of Yagasaki to make funeral arrangements.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication as applied to claim 1 above. Further, merely calling for the particular web pages that are displayed would

Art Unit: 3627

involve only a notorious expedient in the art to one of ordinary skill in the web page designing art.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tavor et al. Yagasaki, as modified by the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication, shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the multimedia presentations. Tavor et al show a method of shopping over the Internet that includes multimedia presentations to make the session enjoyable for the customer, see col. 8, lines 51-53 and the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Tavor et al to provide the system of Yagasaki with a multimedia presentation to make the session more enjoyable for the customer.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication as applied to claim 1 above. Further,

Art Unit: 3627

merely calling for E-mail confirmation of on-line purchases would involve only a notorious expedient in the art since sites such as Amazon.com and Travelocity.com have been doing this for many years.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagasaki in view of the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Slotznick, of record. Yagasaki, as modified by the "Preparing for the Sweet Hereafter With PLAN4ever.com" publication, shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except entering biographical information on a entry form. Slotznick shows an Internet shopping service including an entry form that includes biographical information such as: name, occasion date and address in order to send the selected products to the proper recipient at the proper address and on the appropriate date. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Slotznick to include a biographical information entry form among the selection screens of Yagashi in order for the selected products to be shipped to the correct recipient at the proper address and on the appropriate date.

. Art Unit: 3627

## Response to Arguments

The applicants' remarks have been considered but have not been found persuasive because the shopping mall main screen, product search screens, per-store hit count screen, product list screen and order entry screen of Yagasaki are a guide that lead shoppers through the shopping experience. Applicants' cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. BARTUSKA whose telephone number is 703-308-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY (ALTERNATE FRIDAYS OFF).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

F. J. BARTUSKA 6/6/ PRIMARY EXAMINER